1

Application No. 10/004,105

Applicant(s

Slaga et al.

Interview Summary Examiner

Phyllis G. Spivack

Art Unit 1614



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
	40)
(1) Phyllis G. Spivack	
(2) David G. Henry	
Date of Interview Dec 8, 2003	_
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes	
Claim(s) discussed: 8-10	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
any other comments:	·
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has	
already been filed APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH F	PROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE cord of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required